

Floating-point Bugs in Embedded GNU C Library

Soonho Kong Sicun Gao Edmund M. Clarke

November 19, 2013
CMU-CS-13-130

School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Abstract

We report serious bugs in floating-point computations for evaluating elementary functions in the Embedded GNU C Library. For instance, the sine function can return values larger than 10^{53} in certain rounding modes. Further investigation also exposed faulty implementations in the most recent version of the library, which seemingly fixed some bugs, but only by discarding user-specified rounding-mode requirements.

This research was sponsored by the National Science Foundation grants no. CNS1330014, no. CNS0926181 and no. CNS0931985, the GSRC under contract no. 1041377, the Semiconductor Research Corporation under contract no. 2005TJ1366, and the Office of Naval Research under award no. N000141010188.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 19 NOV 2013		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2013 to 00-00-2013	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Floating-point Bugs in Embedded GNU C Library				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Carnegie Mellon University,School of Computer Science,Pittsburgh,PA,15213				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT We report serious bugs in floating-point computations for evaluating elementary functions in the Embedded GNU C Library. For instance, the sine function can return values larger than 1053 in certain rounding modes. Further investigation also exposed faulty implementations in the most re- cent version of the library, which seemingly fixed some bugs, but only by discarding user-specified rounding-mode requirements.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Keywords: Floating-point computation, GNU C Library, Nonlinear Arithmetic

1 Introduction

We have found floating-point bugs in Linux systems using Embedded GLIBC (EGLIBC) version 2.16 or older. EGLIBC is a variant of the GNU C Library (GLIBC) which is used as the default implementation in many distributions including Debian, Ubuntu, and their variants.

The following C program computes the value of $\sin(-2.437592)$ in double-precision after setting the rounding direction to upward ($+\infty$).

```
1 #include <math.h>
2 #include <fenv.h>
3 #include <stdio.h>
4
5 int main() {
6     double x = -2.437592;
7     fesetround(FE_UPWARD);
8     printf("sin(%f)=%f\n", x, sin(x));
9     return 0;
10 }
```

The IEEE754 standard [1] does not specify correct rounding methods on elementary functions such as the exponential, logarithm, and trigonometric functions. Programmers and engineers usually expect the program to print out an approximated value around $\sin(-2.437592) \simeq -0.64727239229$ with an “acceptable” amount error. However, they all should agree that the result be in the range between -1 and 1, even in the worst case.

However, a surprising result appears if we compile and execute the program in a machine running Ubuntu 12.04 LTS (or any system with EGLIBC-2.15). The value is greater than 10^{53} and it should not be a return value of sine function in any sense.

```
$ gcc exp_bug.c -lm && ./a.out
sin(-2.437592)=191561981424936943059347927032148030287313979209416704.00000
```

Here is another C program computing $\cosh(3.113408)$ with directed rounding toward $+\infty$. This example is more interesting because it shows different results on Intel and AMD machines, and both of the results have serious problems.

```
1 #include <math.h>
2 #include <fenv.h>
3 #include <stdio.h>
4
5 int main() {
6     double x = 3.113408;
7     fesetround(FE_UPWARD);
8     printf("cosh(%f) = %f\n", x, cosh(x));
9     return 0;
10 }
```

Table 1: Experiment Setup

Function	Domain	Range	Function	Domain	Range
sin	$[-10^{306}, 10^{306}]$	$[-1.0, 1.0]$	acos	$[-1.0, 1.0]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
cos	$[-10^{306}, 10^{306}]$	$[-1.0, 1.0]$	asin	$[-1.0, 1.0]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
tan	$[-10^{306}, 10^{306}]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$	atan	$[-1.0, 1.0]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
cosh	$[-500, 500]$	$[1.0, +\infty]$	exp	$[-100, 100]$	$[0.0, +\infty]$
sinh	$[-500, 500]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$	log	$[10^{-306}, 10^{306}]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
tanh	$[-100, 100]$	$[-1.0, 1.0]$	log10	$[10^{-306}, 10^{306}]$	$[-\infty, +\infty]$
sqrt	$[0.0, 10^{306}]$	$[0.0, +\infty]$			

In a machine with Intel Core i7 CPU, the program outputs *inf* while a machine with AMD Opteron processor produces -160.191709 .

```
[INTEL] $ gcc cosh_bug.c -lm && ./a.out
cosh(3.113408) = inf
[AMD]   $ gcc cosh_bug.c -lm && ./a.out
cosh(3.113408) = -160.191709
```

Note that $\cosh(3.113408) \simeq 11.2710174432$ and the both results *inf* and -160.191709 are simply wrong. Moreover, each of the wrong results have significant implications:

- Intel (*inf*): It has a contagious effect in subsequent computations. *inf* is a special value in the IEEE754 standard which indicates an overflow in a computation. If one of subexpressions is evaluated to *inf*, then in general the main expression also becomes infinity ($+\infty$ or $-\infty$) or NaN (Not a Number).
- AMD (-160.191709): Mathematically, $\cosh(x)$ is greater than or equal to 1 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. As a result, programmers and engineers write algorithms based on the invariant $\forall x. 1 \leq \cosh(x)$. This result, -160.191709 , breaks the invariant and could cause an unexpected behavior.

2 Floating-point Bugs in EGLIBC (≤ 2.16)

We have tested the following math functions in C standard library:

sin, cos, tan, acos, asin, atan, cosh, sinh, tanh, exp, log, log10, sqrt.

For each function f , we take 100,000 random numbers from a subset of function f 's domain. Table 1 shows each function's sampling domain and range. We pick the sampling domain carefully so that the result of the computation can be fit in a double-precision variable. We consider the four rounding modes supported by C99 standard [2]:

\cdot (nearest), $\rightarrow 0$ (toward zero), \uparrow (toward $+\infty$), \downarrow (toward $-\infty$).

Table 2: Experimental results on Intel and AMD machines: f^\uparrow , f^\downarrow , and $f^{\rightarrow 0}$ indicate a function f with rounding mode toward $+\infty$, toward $-\infty$, and toward 0 respectively. “Inconsistent” denotes the number of cases in which the difference of two results are larger than 2^{20} ULP (Unit of Least Precision). “Incorrect” denotes the number of cases in which $f_C(x)$ is out of f ’s mathematical range. “ $\pm\infty$ ” denotes the number of cases in which $f_C(x)$ is either $-\infty$ or $+\infty$.

Function	Intel				AMD			
	Inconsistent	Incorrect	$\pm\infty$	Total	Inconsistent	Incorrect	$\pm\infty$	Total
\sin^\uparrow	10055	446	0	10501	9853	450	0	10303
\sin^\downarrow	9619	497	0	10116	10009	450	0	10459
$\sin^{\rightarrow 0}$	10087	436	0	10523	9904	423	0	10327
\cos^\uparrow	10097	434	0	10531	9880	423	0	10303
\cos^\downarrow	9815	442	0	10257	9910	461	0	10371
$\cos^{\rightarrow 0}$	9737	444	0	10181	9913	441	0	10354
\tan^\uparrow	12218	0	0	12218	12452	0	0	12452
\tan^\downarrow	12387	0	0	12387	12378	0	0	12378
$\tan^{\rightarrow 0}$	12486	0	0	12486	12506	0	0	12506
\cosh^\uparrow	18768	30139	935	49842	37091	12295	291	49677
\cosh^\downarrow	49766	0	0	49766	49673	0	0	49673
$\cosh^{\rightarrow 0}$	49713	0	0	49713	49772	0	0	49772
\sinh^\uparrow	47807	0	0	47807	47451	0	266	47717
\sinh^\downarrow	47493	0	0	47493	47676	0	0	47676
$\sinh^{\rightarrow 0}$	47911	0	0	47911	48046	0	0	48046
\tanh^\uparrow	3107	0	0	3107	3242	0	0	3242
\tanh^\downarrow	3135	0	0	3135	3268	0	0	3268
\exp^\uparrow	47386	2536	0	49922	37883	11708	124	49715
\exp^\downarrow	49646	0	0	49646	49978	0	0	49978
$\exp^{\rightarrow 0}$	50022	0	0	50022	49836	0	0	49836

For each sample x and for each rounding mode rnd , we compute two values $f_C^{rnd}(x)$ and $f_{MPFR}^{rnd}(x)$ where f_C is a function f in C standard library and f_{MPFR} is a function f in the GNU MPFR library. MPFR supports arbitrary-precision floating-point computation and we use it as a reference implementation to have a comparison. The correctness of MPFR is, of course, another issue and we do not discuss it here. In the experiments, we use 256-bit precision for MPFR.

We have the following expectations for the two values:

- **Consistency:** The difference between $f_C^{rnd}(x)$ and $f_{MPFR}^{rnd}(x)$ should not be too large. In this experiment, we set the threshold of 2^{20} ULP (Unit of Least Precision) which is the spacing between two adjacent floating-point numbers. Note that IEEE754 double-precision format has 53 bits of precision and 2^{20} ULP implies that it loses 20-bit precision out of 53. If $|f_C^{rnd}(x) - f_{MPFR}^{rnd}(x)| > 2^{20}\text{ULP}$, we label the case as “inconsistent”.
- **Correctness:** The value of $f_C^{rnd}(x)$ should be in the range of the mathematical function f .

For instance, $\sin_C^{\text{rnd}}(x)$ has to be between -1.0 and 1.0 no matter how imprecise it is.

We run the experiments¹ on two machines – one with Intel Core i7-2600 CPU (8-core, 3.40GHz) and another with AMD Opteron Processor 6134 (32-core, 2.30GHz). Both of them are running Ubuntu 12.04 LTS in which uses EGLIBC-2.15 for the C standard library implementation. We use MPFR-3.1.1 and g++-4.8.1 C++ compiler in the experiments.

The experimental results are summarized in table 2.

1. The implementations of sin, cos, tan, cosh, sinh, tanh and exp functions have severe problems when used with non-default rounding modes (toward ∞ , toward $-\infty$, and toward 0). It is also not rare to have the problematic case in practice. For instance, \cosh^\uparrow function gives wrong results almost 50% of cases (49,842 out of 100,000).
2. We have not observed any problem under the default rounding mode (toward nearest representable number). Also the implementations of acos, asin, atan, tanh, log, \log_{10} , and sqrt functions pass our tests.

3 Patch in EGLIBC-2.17

In EGLIBC-2.17, they provided a patch for the problem. The following is a part of the new implementation of sine function (IEEE754 double-precision)²:

```

101  _____ eglibc-2.17/libc/sysdeps/ieee754/dbl-64/s_sin.c _____
102  __sin(double x){
103      double xx,res,t,cor,y,s,c,sn,ssn,cs,ccs,xn,a,da,db,eps,xn1,xn2;
104      #if 0
105          double w[2];
106      #endif
107          mynumber u,v;
108          int4 k,m,n;
109      #if 0
110          int4 nn;
111      #endif
112          double retval = 0;
113          SET_RESTORE_ROUND_53BIT (FE_TONEAREST);

```

We find that the patch does not really fix the problem. At line 113, it resets the rounding mode to “round to nearest” and compute the value of $\sin(x)$ while ignoring the user-specified rounding mode. We found a case in which the value of $\sin_C^\uparrow(x)$ is smaller than the value of $\sin_{\text{MPFR}}(x)$, which violates the semantics of “toward $+\infty$ ” rounding mode:

$$\begin{aligned}\sin_C^\uparrow(-3.93799) &= 0.714841448083829766879659928236 \\ \sin_{\text{MPFR}}(-3.93799) &= 0.714841448083829771665831705916\end{aligned}$$

¹Source code is available at <https://github.com/soonhokong/fp-test>

²Available at http://www.eglibc.org/cgi-bin/viewvc.cgi/branches/eglibc-2_17/libc/sysdeps/ieee754/dbl-64/s_sin.c?view=markup

4 Conclusion

We report serious bugs in floating-point computations for evaluating elementary functions in the Embedded GNU C Library. It is not a negligible numerical error but either a significant error (2^{20} ULP) or mathematically incorrect result (i.e. $\sin(x) > 10^{53}$) which can trigger severe problems in the following computations. Moreover, the chances of having these results are not rare at all as we have shown in section 2. The current fix does not mitigate the problem but hides it.

References

- [1] IEEE Task P754. *ANSI/IEEE 754-1985, Standard for Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic*. August 1985.
- [2] ISO. *ISO/IEC 9899:2011 Information technology — Programming languages — C*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, December 2011.